

# United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	Philip G. Reinhard			Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge
CASE NUMBER	00 CR 50057		DATE	3/19/2002
CASE TITLE	U.S.A. vs. STRANG			

[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]

**MOTION:**

--

**DOCKET ENTRY:**

- (1)  Filed motion of [ use listing in "Motion" box above.]
- (2)  Brief in support of motion due \_\_\_\_\_.
- (3)  Answer brief to motion due \_\_\_\_\_. Reply to answer brief due \_\_\_\_\_.
- (4)  Ruling/Hearing on \_\_\_\_\_ set for \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_.
- (5)  Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on \_\_\_\_\_ set for \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_.
- (6)  Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on \_\_\_\_\_ set for \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_.
- (7)  Trial[set for/re-set for] on \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_.
- (8)  [Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_.
- (9)  This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]
  FRCP4(m)    General Rule 21    FRCP41(a)(1)    FRCP41(a)(2).
- (10)  [Other docket entry] For the reasons stated on the reverse Memorandum Opinion and Order, defendant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied as no § 2255 motion is pending. Motion for free transcript denied.

*Murphy - Denial*

- (11)  [For further detail see order on the reverse side of the original minute order.]

/SEC	courtroom deputy's initials	MAR 19 2002 U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK FILED-WB 02 MAR 19 AM 10:15 Date/time received in central Clerk's Office	number of notices  MAR 19 2002 date docketed initials docketing deputy initials 3-19-02 date mailed notice	Document Number  6 mailing deputy initials
------	-----------------------------	---	---	---

**ORDER**

Harold Strang, a federal prisoner in custody pursuant to his conviction and sentence for a violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846, has filed pro se a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis stating he has constitutional issues to bring in a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, but has not specified those issues. Instead, he asks for a transcript of the proceedings so that he may determine such issues to present. Transcripts are not yet prepared, and Strang has not demonstrated that any potential § 2255 motion is not frivolous and that the transcript is needed to decide any constitutional issue in order for this court to certify a free transcript is necessary pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 753. See United States v. MacCollom, 426 U.S. 317 (1976).